

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/088,830	GUTIERREZ-ARMENTA ET AL.
	Examiner Cynthia Collins	Art Unit 1638

**All Participants:**

(1) Cynthia Collins.

**Status of Application:** Election/Restriction

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Paul Legaard.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 February 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*16*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
*Cynthia Collins*

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The necessity to restrict SEQ ID NO:4 from the rest of the sequences recited in claim 16 as SEQ ID NO:4 (an E2F protein) is structurally and functionally different from the other sequences (from a single wheat DP protein), which would require a separate search. It was agreed that claims directed to sequences corresponding to the wheat DP protein, ie Group I, are elected and to be prosecuted..